



## Atlas of type 2 dopamine receptors in the human brain: Age and sex dependent variability in a large PET cohort

Tuulia Malén<sup>a,\*</sup>, Tomi Karjalainen<sup>a</sup>, Janne Isojärvi<sup>a</sup>, Aki Vehtari<sup>b</sup>, Paul-Christian Bürkner<sup>c</sup>, Vesa Putkinen<sup>a</sup>, Valtteri Kaasinen<sup>d,e</sup>, Jarmo Hietala<sup>a,f,g</sup>, Pirjo Nuutila<sup>a,h</sup>, Juha Rinne<sup>a,f</sup>, Lauri Nummenmaa<sup>a,f,i</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Turku PET Centre, University of Turku, Finland

<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science, Aalto University, Finland

<sup>c</sup> Cluster of Excellence SimTech, University of Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>d</sup> Clinical Neurosciences, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

<sup>e</sup> Neurocenter, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

<sup>f</sup> Turku PET Centre, Turku University Hospital, Finland

<sup>g</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

<sup>h</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Turku University Hospital, Finland

<sup>i</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Turku, Finland

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Type 2 dopamine receptors  
Positron emission tomography  
[11C]raclopride  
Ageing  
Sex-difference  
Bayesian data-analysis

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The dopamine system contributes to a multitude of functions ranging from reward and motivation to learning and movement control, making it a key component in goal-directed behavior. Altered dopaminergic function is observed in neurological and psychiatric conditions. Numerous factors have been proposed to influence dopamine function, but due to small sample sizes and heterogeneous data analysis methods in previous studies their specific and joint contributions remain unresolved.

**Methods:** In this cross-sectional register-based study we investigated how age, sex, body mass index (BMI), as well as cerebral hemisphere and regional volume influence striatal type 2 dopamine receptor (D<sub>2</sub>R) availability in the human brain. We analyzed a large historical dataset (n = 156, 120 males and 36 females) of [11C]raclopride PET scans performed between 2004 and 2018.

**Results:** Striatal D<sub>2</sub>R availability decreased through age for both sexes (2.5 % in striatal ROIs per 10 years) and was higher in females versus males throughout age (7-8% in putamen). BMI and striatal D<sub>2</sub>R availability were weakly associated. There was no consistent lateralization of striatal D<sub>2</sub>R. The observed effects were independent of regional volumes. These results were validated using two different spatial normalization methods, and the age and sex effects also replicated in an independent sample (n = 135).

**Conclusions:** D<sub>2</sub>R availability is dependent on age and sex, which may contribute to the vulnerability of neurological and psychiatric conditions involving altering D<sub>2</sub>R expression.

### 1. Introduction

Dopaminergic function regulates emotion, cognition and learning as well as motor functions (Beaulieu et al., 2015, Jackson and Westlind-Danielsson, 1994), making the dopamine system a key component for goal-directed behavior (Calabresi et al., 2007, Juárez Olguín et al., 2016, Roitman et al., 2004). Aberrant dopaminergic function is observed in various neurological and psychiatric conditions, such as Parkinson's disease, schizophrenia, drug abuse, obesity and depression

(Bonci and Hopf, 2005, Leggio et al., 2016, Maia and Frank, 2011). Dopamine receptors are divided into type 1 (D<sub>1</sub>R including types D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>5</sub>) and type 2 (D<sub>2</sub>R including types D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub>) receptor families (Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011, Jackson and Westlind-Danielsson, 1994). Particularly the D<sub>2</sub>R which is abundantly expressed in the striatum (De Keyser et al., 1988, Usiello et al., 2000) is centrally involved in the pathophysiology of neuropsychiatric conditions (Leggio et al., 2016).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [tukama@utu.fi](mailto:tukama@utu.fi) (T. Malén).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119149>

Received 30 August 2021; Received in revised form 22 March 2022; Accepted 24 March 2022

1053-8119/© 20XX

Patients with schizophrenia show striatal hyperactivity of dopaminergic function (Breier et al., 1997) and elevated in vivo D<sub>2</sub>R density (Laruelle, 1998, Wong et al., 1986), yet it remains unresolved how the disorder itself (e.g. illness duration) and exposure to antipsychotic medication link to these observations (Guma et al., 2018). D<sub>2</sub>Rs also mediate anxious symptomology (Zarrindast and Khakpai, 2015, Nummenmaa et al., 2020) and elevated D<sub>2</sub>R expression is observed in motivational disturbance (Simpson et al., 2014) and possibly in depression, although the elevated D<sub>2</sub>R has been shown particularly in medicated (D'haenen and Bossuyt, 1994) rather than unmedicated depression (Hirvonen et al., 2008), possibly reflecting antidepressant treatment (Hirvonen et al., 2008). Conversely, Parkinson's disease is associated with lowered D<sub>2</sub>R expression (Leggio et al., 2016), at least after the early disorder stage of when increase of D<sub>2</sub>Rs may occur as a compensation to nerve terminal loss or medical treatment (Kaasinen et al., 2021, Seeman and Niznik, 1990). In addition to this neurodegenerative disease (Leggio et al., 2016, Elbaz et al., 2016), drug abuse is also associated with striatal D<sub>2</sub>R loss, and the lower D<sub>2</sub>R density may constitute a vulnerability factor for drug abuse (Volkow et al., 2003, Volkow et al., 2009).

To understand dopaminergic dysfunction and related pathophysiology, factors contributing to dopamine function in the healthy population need to be identified. Small-scale PET studies suggest that subject demographics, such as age (Antonini et al., 1993, Kim et al., 2011, Dang et al., 2016), sex (Pohjalainen et al., 1998, Fazio et al., 2017) and body mass index (BMI) (29, 32, but see 33) might affect the D<sub>2</sub>R availability in striatum. However, there has been increasing concern over the lack of replicability of neuroimaging findings (Poldrack et al., 2017). Insufficient statistical power (Poldrack et al., 2017, Button et al., 2013), variable methods for analyzing data (Simmons et al., 2011), as well as failure to appropriately control for multiple comparisons (Eklund et al., 2016) have been proposed as main sources of the poor replicability.

Because PET imaging is expensive, data pooling has recently emerged as an effective way of increasing sample sizes and consequently providing accurate statistical estimates (Kantonen et al., 2020). Additionally, Bayesian hierarchical modeling has been proposed to facilitate reproducible science by limiting the “researcher degrees of freedom” in the analysis phase (Lindquist and Gelman, 2009) and by removing the need for arbitrary multiple comparison correction methods (Gelman et al., 2012). The primary aim of this study was to address the effects of age, sex, BMI and hemisphere on D<sub>2</sub>R lateralization using a well-powered dataset of historical scans. Using hierarchical Bayesian modeling, we were able to address the potential hierarchical nature of the effects and address potential differences arising from different PET scanners. We analyzed a large dataset of 156 historical controls scanned with [11C]raclopride, a selective antagonist D<sub>2</sub>R radioligand. We also replicated the results in an independent sample of 135 subjects. Our secondary goal was development of age and sex-specific atlases of D<sub>2</sub>R availability in the brain that would be released to the neuroimaging community via NeuroVault (<https://neurovault.org>; <https://identifiers.org/neurovault.collection:12099>).

## 2. Methods and materials

### 2.1. Subjects

The data were 156 baseline [11C]raclopride scans of healthy control subjects (sex 120 males and 36 females; age 19-71 years, BMI range 18-38, no information about the menstrual cycle) scanned at Turku PET Centre between 2004 and 2018. Detailed sample information is shown in Table 1 (see also Table S6 for exclusion criteria). Studies were included in the analysis if they were baseline scans with injected dose > 100 MBq (to avoid low signal-noise ratio (SNR), see Table S7 for radiochemical details) and the magnetic resonance (MR) scan and basic de-

**Table 1**  
Characteristics of the sample. SD = standard deviation.

	Males (n = 120)			Females (n = 36)		
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range
Age (years)	25	5	19-56	37	14	20-71
Height (cm)	181	7	167-199	166	7	151-190
Weight (kg)	79	12	58-130	61	8	47-85
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24	3	19-38	22	3	18-33

mographic and anthropometric information (height, weight) was available. If multiple baseline scans were acquired for an individual, chronologically first scan was included in the analysis. These data were compiled across 5 different PET scanners. See Supplementary Material section Scanner Considerations for detailed information. Finnish legislation does not require ethical approval for register-based studies.

### 2.2. PET data acquisition and image processing

Antagonist radioligand [11C]raclopride binds to D<sub>2</sub>Rs (Farde et al., 1985, Farde et al., 1989, Mishra et al., 2018), allowing reliable quantification of striatal and thalamic D<sub>2</sub>R availability (Mishra et al., 2018, Hirvonen et al., 2003, Ginovart, 2005, Cárdenas et al., 2004, Innis et al., 2007, Alakurtti et al., 2015). However, the reliability of thalamic measures using [11C]raclopride is not as robust as in the striatum (Freiburghaus et al., 2021), and its binding in extrastriatal regions, such as the cerebral cortex, is unspecific ((Hirvonen et al., 2003, Freiburghaus et al., 2021, Svensson et al., 2019), but see (Alakurtti et al., 2015, Papenberg et al., 2019)). In this study, we included the following four regions of interest (ROIs): striatal nucleus accumbens (accumbens), caudate nucleus (caudate), putamen, as well as thalamus close by the striatal ROIs. The PET data was acquired using five different scanners (Scanner Considerations and Table S1 in SM).

Preprocessing and kinetic modeling were done using Magia toolbox (Karjalainen et al., 2020). Preprocessing consisted of framewise realignment and co-registration of the PET and magnetic resonance images (MRIs). Tracer binding was quantified using the outcome measure binding potential (BP<sub>ND</sub>), which is the ratio of specific binding to non-displaceable binding in tissue (Innis et al., 2007). BP<sub>ND</sub> was estimated using a simplified reference tissue model (SRTM) (Lammertsma and Hume, 1996) with cerebellar gray matter as the reference region (Hall et al., 1996). Data length was harmonized by including first 52 minutes from each scan. Previous studies have shown that 52 minutes provides sufficient reproducibility and reliability for modelling striatal [11C]raclopride binding with SRTM (Hirvonen et al., 2003). We thus used this cut-off for all the studies as it allowed us to apply it as a standard way to calculate the BP<sub>ND</sub> estimates for the dataset while harmonizing the scan times across protocols.

Individual frames were first realigned to account for between-frame movements. The first frame was omitted because it did not contain sufficient signal for every subject. T1-weighted MR images were processed using FreeSurfer (<https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/>). The MR images were then co-registered with the PET data for region of interest extraction.

### 2.3. Statistical modeling

Statistical modeling was carried out in R (Core Team, 2021) using brms (Bürkner, 2017, Bürkner, 2018) that applies the Markov-Chain Monte Carlo sampling tools of RStan (Development Team, 2020). The analysis script is available in SM code.

#### 2.3.1. Primary analysis

We first standardized the continuous variables and log-transformed binding potential estimates because according to posterior predictive

checks (Gabry et al., 2019, Gelman et al., 2013), log-transformation of non-negative dependent variable enhances model fit, as it makes the model multiplicative instead of additive that is not optimal when limited to positive values (Gelman and Hill, 2006). We also confirmed that the age and BMI effects on logarithmic  $BP_{ND}$  are well approximated by a linear function in each ROI (Linearity Assessment of the Age and BMI effects in SM). For the sake of conciseness, we simply refer to the linear effects on a logarithmic scale as linear. We used Bayesian hierarchical regression to model the data. Because ROI-wise effects were partially pooled across ROIs, this essentially removes the need to correct for multiple comparisons induced by investigating multiple ROIs (Neath et al., 2018).

We estimated one primary model for assessing the main effects of age, sex and BMI on  $BP_{ND}$ . The effects were calculated separately for the left and right hemisphere. We also investigated the main effect of cerebral hemisphere (i.e. lateralization) on  $BP_{ND}$  separately for males and females, as our initial modeling showed sex-differences in lateralization and as previous research has pointed to greater lateralization in the male versus female brain (Bakan and Putnam, 1974, Toga and Thompson, 2003, Wager et al., 2003). Toward the end of the age range, the relative number of males decreased, as did the overall number of observations. Although this might have masked the interaction effect of age and sex, there was no clear evidence for sex-specific age-effect (Fig. S8), prompting us to calculate the age effect together for males and females with maximal statistical power. To estimate the effects of age, sex, BMI and hemisphere, we used regionally varying random slopes. Subjects, scanners, and ROIs, were all modelled as varying (random) intercepts. We included a varying intercept for the combination of scanners and ROIs, to allow for regionally varying scanner effects (Scanner Considerations in SM). For the residual variances, we applied the same grouping structure, except for subject (no individual differences expected). Additional modeling information is presented in SM (Sampling Settings and Convergence Estimates).

### 2.3.2. Sensitivity analysis and replication in an independent sample

The large number of young male subjects resulted in an imbalanced sex ratio, especially after the age of 40. Hence, we repeated the primary model with a balanced subset of the data ( $n = 140$ , see Tables S4 and S6), including the data of subjects aged 40 and under. We also checked whether adjusting for inter-individual differences in regional volumes of the ROIs changed the results or had main effects on  $D_2R$  binding (See SM file).

Differences between the scanner characteristics, such as spatial resolution and sensitivity, may have influenced our results (Table S1). Hence, we took the multiple scanners into account in the primary modeling by adjusting for the scanner in the statistical modeling. This allowed us to calculate the main effects of age, sex, BMI, hemisphere, and regional volume while allowing  $BP_{ND}$  to vary by scanner. Additionally, we conducted supplementary statistics where we assessed the associations of age and sex with  $BP_{ND}$  (including correlations and unpaired t-tests, see Scanner Considerations in SM) in scanner-specific subsets of the primary dataset. Additionally, we conducted supplementary analysis where we assessed the associations of age and sex with  $BP_{ND}$  (including correlations and unpaired t-tests) in scanner-specific subsets of the primary dataset (see Scanner Considerations, particularly Tables S2-S3, in SM). The results highlight that using historical datasets pooling observations across scanners and holding imbalance (different age ratio of the sexes), modelling the variables in the same model, including scanner-specific effects, is advantageous, as it can detect and account for this kind of variability in the effects.

Our independent secondary sample of 135 scans (104 males, mean age 33 years, Tables S5 and S6) was not included in the primary analysis due to missing MR images or anthropometric measurements (weight, height) from these subjects. In a secondary analysis we maximized statistical power by applying template-based normalization

method to the whole available sample (primary and secondary). We first validated the normalization and ROI extraction protocol without the MR images by conducting a within-subject comparison of the  $BP_{ND}$  estimates produced by the two normalization methods for the subjects that both normalization methods could be applied (MR image available,  $n = 189$ , Table S6). The analysis showed that both methods yield comparable  $BP_{ND}$  estimates (Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficients 0.97-0.99). Then, we replicated the statistical analysis of the global effects of age and sex on the  $D_2R$   $BP_{ND}$  (using template-based normalization method) using the secondary sample with no available MRI image. Finally, we also tested the effects of injected mass on the regional  $BP_{ND}$  estimates and found only weak evidence for a negative association between injected mass and  $BP_{ND}$  (see Injected mass in SM). See SM for more detailed information about the samples (Tables S5 and S6), validation and replication (Validation of an alternative approach for defining ROIs and reference regions, Injected mass).

## 3. Results

The [ $^{11}C$ ]raclopride binding was highest in striatum and practically nonexistent in the cortex (Fig. 1). The  $BP_{ND}$  in the ROIs varies from below 1 to above 5, being lowest in thalamus and highest in putamen (Fig. 2). Please see Fig. S14 for the correlation of the  $BP_{ND}$  estimates between the ROIs.

### 3.1. Age and sex

There was a consistent age-related decline in striatal  $D_2R$  binding (Fig. 3, 4). This applied particularly to the age-range from early 20s to 60s for which we had sufficient data. In putamen and caudate, 10 years of ageing (one SD) decreased the binding approximately 5%. In accumbens, the approximate decrease was 2-3% per SD. Only in thalamus, the 95% posterior uncertainty interval overlapped with zero, suggesting uncertainty in the effects. These effects were similar in both hemispheres. The further assessment supported the linearity of the age effect (Linearity Assessment of the Age and BMI effects in SM) and that the effect remains clear even when adjusting for regional volumes (Fig. S9).

The data did not support an interactive effect of age and sex on  $D_2R$  binding (Fig. S8), instead suggesting that the age-related decline is similar for both sexes. However, the data revealed that females had on average approximately 7-8% higher  $D_2R$  binding than males bilaterally in putamen (Fig. 4).  $BP_{ND}$  tended to be higher in females versus males also in the other ROIs, although the 95% posterior uncertainty intervals overlapped with zero.

The effect of sex was in general similar in both hemispheres, suggesting higher binding in females than males. Only in the accumbens, the effect of sex appeared to be hemisphere-specific (Fig. 4). Left accumbens was the only region where the binding of males was similar as for females. After adjusting for regional volumes (Fig. S9), the sex-specific lateralization effects became weaker, and the model suggested only slightly higher binding in females versus males across both hemispheres. However, the posterior uncertainty intervals were wide in both conditions (Figs 4 and S9), reflecting uncertainty in the effects, and only in putamen the intervals did not cross zero. As there was overlap in the posterior uncertainty intervals, these results do not clearly support lateralization of the sex effect even in accumbens. Adjusting for regional volumes did not change the overall effects of sex. After the adjustment for regional volumes, however, the 95% posterior uncertainty interval of right accumbens and right caudate no longer overlapped with zero, suggesting that the difference between males and females was more profound (8% in accumbens and 6% in caudate) when adjusting for regional volumes (Fig. S9).




Fig. 1. Mean [11C]raclopride  $BP_{ND}$  (original scale from 0 to 4, MNI coordinates  $x = 26, y = 6, z = 0$ ) in the primary sample, as well as its subsamples (subjects below 41 and above 40 years of age, males and females).




Fig. 2. Regional [11C]raclopride  $BP_{ND}$  (original scale). The figure shows medians (middle line), 25% (lower hinge) and 75% (upper hinge) quantiles, min value (lower whisker) and max value (upper whisker), as well as the data points for the  $D_2R$   $BP_{ND}$ .

### 3.2. Body mass index

We found no clear evidence for the effect of BMI in the  $D_2R$  availability. However, the weak effect suggested an increase in  $BP_{ND}$  as a function of BMI across the whole range (Simpson et al., 2014, D'haenen and Bossuyt, 1994, Hirvonen et al., 2008, Kaasinen et al., 2021, Seeman and Niznik, 1990, Elbaz et al., 2016, Volkow et al., 2003, Volkow et al., 2009, Antonini et al., 1993, Kim et al., 2011, Rinne et al., 1993, Dang et al., 2016, Pohjalainen et al., 1998, Fazio et al., 2017, Wang et al., 2001, Karlsson et al., 2015, Poldrack et al., 2017, Button et al., 2013, Simmons et al., 2011, Eklund et al., 2016, Kantonen et al., 2020) (Fig. 4). In the right thalamus, the posterior 95% uncertainty interval did not overlap with zero with an estimation of an approximate 3% increase in  $D_2R$  binding for the increase of one SD (3 units) in BMI. In other ROIs, particularly in putamen, the majority of the posterior uncertainty intervals were above zero, also supporting the positive effect. Further assessment supported the linearity of the effects (Linearity Assessment of the

Age and BMI effects in SM). Adjusting for regional volumes did not change the overall results of BMI (Fig. S9).

### 3.3. Lateralization

According to our data, lateralization was more prominent in males than in females. This was particularly prominent in accumbens, where males had higher left-hemispheric binding potentials, as the posterior mean and the relatively narrow posterior uncertainty interval clearly parted from zero. The binding was approximately 9% higher in left versus right accumbens. For males the data supported lateralization in all ROIs, although the direction was not coherent between the closely located ROIs (modeling results in Fig. 5). The binding was increased in left versus right putamen, and right versus left thalamus and caudate. These effects were however smaller and the posterior uncertainty intervals overlapped zero. In females, no clear lateralization effects were found (Fig. 5). The uncertainty intervals for females were wider than for males, as we had less data for females than males. Although the posterior uncertainty interval overlapped with zero, there was some support for higher binding in right versus left caudate, in line with the data from male subjects.


### 3.4. Replication analysis

The regional effects of age and sex were replicated in the secondary sample ( $n = 135$ , see Tables S5 and S6) that was spatially normalized with an alternative method that does not require MR image, as many subjects were lacking it (please see the detailed description of the secondary sample in SM). BMI could not be included in the analysis due to missing anthropometric measurements (weight, height) for some of the subjects.


## 4. Discussion

Our main findings were that i) there is a steady decline in  $D_2R$  availability as a function of ageing and ii) females have higher  $D_2R$  availabil-






**Fig. 3.** Left and right D<sub>2</sub>R BP<sub>ND</sub> (original scale) as a function of age (original scale) in each ROI. The Fig. shows the original BP<sub>ND</sub> estimates (points), linear regression lines separately for males and females (lines) and their 95% confidence intervals (shaded areas).



**Fig. 4.** The effects of age (standardized), sex (male - female) and BMI (standardized) on striatal and thalamic D<sub>2</sub>R BP<sub>ND</sub> (logarithmic) separately for left and right hemisphere. The Fig. shows medians (circles), 80% (thick line) and 95% (thin line) posterior uncertainty intervals of the regression coefficients on a logarithmic scale.



**Fig. 5.** The effect of hemisphere (right - left) on striatal and thalamic D<sub>2</sub>R BP<sub>ND</sub> (logarithmic) separately for males and females. The figure shows medians (circles), 80% (thick line) and 95% (thin line) posterior uncertainty intervals of the regression coefficients on a logarithmic scale.

ity than men irrespective of age (at least from 20 to 60 years of age for which we had sufficient data). Additionally, higher BMI might be associated with increased D<sub>2</sub>R availability, as we found a weak positive effect of BMI. The effects of lateralization did not show clear consistence. Adjusting for volumes of the ROIs did not change the overall results, suggesting that among healthy adults, the striatal effects of age and sex on the D<sub>2</sub>R availability are global and independent from the regional volumes.

#### 4.1. Effects of age & sex

Our data showed a clear age-dependent decline in the striatal BP<sub>ND</sub>, supporting decrease through age in D<sub>2</sub>Rs (Volkow et al., 2000, Mukherjee et al., 2002), starting from early adulthood. Compared to previous smaller studies (Antonini et al., 1993, Kim et al., 2011, Pohjalainen et al., 1998, Rinne et al., 1993), our large-scale sample allowed a reliable assessment of this effect across a wide age range. Dopamine receptor loss starts already in early twenties and continues steadily throughout ageing, while previously the decline has suggested to slow down with age (Antonini et al., 1993, Kim et al., 2011, Ichise et al., 1998). The observed receptor decline changes the properties of dopamine neurotransmission (Nagatsu, 2000), of which disturbance relates to several cognitive and motor symptoms (Leggio et al., 2016). The etiology of Parkinson's disease differs from mere age-related neurodegeneration (Kish et al., 1992) and doesn't appear as accelerated aging of the dopaminergic function (Kaasinen et al., 2015). The decline in dopamine neurotransmitter (Kish et al., 1992, Haaxma et al., 2007), receptors and transporters (DATs) (Karrer et al., 2017, van Dyck et al., 1995, Kaasinen et al., 2015, Volkow et al., 1996), emerging through age, could however contribute to both the mild cognitive decline and motor deficiency commonly observed among the elderly (Peters, 2006, Royall et al., 2002), as well as the more severe forms of neurodegeneration, such as Parkinsonism (Leggio et al., 2016).

According to our data, the D<sub>2</sub>R availability declines in both sexes. This accords with previous studies that have detected the age-related decline in dopamine receptors (Volkow et al., 2000) and transporters (Volkow et al., 1996). However, our data also showed that the average D<sub>2</sub>R level remains higher in females throughout the studied age range. This contrasts with prior studies that have reported sex-dependent decline in dopamine function (Wong et al., 1988), with males showing steeper reduction in receptors (Pohjalainen et al., 1998) particularly in young adulthood (Wong Dean et al., 1984), and presynaptic dopamine synthesis (Laakso et al., 2002).

Our data shows that although the decline in the available D<sub>2</sub>Rs is not sex dependent, the overall D<sub>2</sub>R level is, consistently higher in females from early adulthood to at least the age 60. However, with the current dataset overrepresenting young adults (particularly males), the associations of ageing and sex on D<sub>2</sub>R availability are more reliable in the subjects aged 40 and below than in the primary dataset with the wider age range. Sex differences have previously been observed in D<sub>2</sub>R affinity (lower in women) but not density, pointing to higher dopamine concentration in women (Pohjalainen et al., 1998). One study with [18F]Fluorodopa also showed greater striatal presynaptic dopamine synthesis capacity in females versus males (Laakso et al., 2002).

Sex differences in the dopamine system may contribute to vulnerability for neuropsychiatric disorders (Pohjalainen et al., 1998, Laakso et al., 2002). Accordingly, females (who have higher D<sub>2</sub>R binding) might be predisposed to pathology associated with elevated D<sub>2</sub>R availability, such as mood disorders (Loke et al., 2015), but also consider 20), schizophrenia, and psychoses (Wong et al., 1986, Wong et al., 1997), but see also (Farde et al., 1987, Farde et al., 1990)). Conversely, lower D<sub>2</sub>R level in males may predispose them to Parkinson's disease (Loke et al., 2015) that involves receptor loss (Kaasinen et al., 2021, Leggio et al., 2016) and is approximately 1.5 more common in males versus females (Elbaz et al., 2016). This may also explain males' higher prevalence of addictions, such as alcoholism (Kalaydjian et al., 2009, Volkow et al., 1996), substance use disorders (James et al., 2018), drug abuse (Becker and Hu, 2008, Volkow et al., 2009), as well as and mood-related impulsivity (Clark et al., 2012) which are associated with lowered D<sub>2</sub>R availability.

Finally, low striatal D<sub>2</sub>R density has also been associated with A1 allele of the D<sub>2</sub>R gene (Pohjalainen et al., 1998) that possibly links to alcoholism (Blum et al., 1990). As the deficiency in dopaminergic function does not only increase the impulsive behavior toward the object of addiction but also disturbs the saliency attribution of other objects (Volkow et al., 2009), altered dopaminergic function may well constitute a significant vulnerability endophenotype for addictive behaviors. Finally, sex-differences in the dopamine system have been observed not only in the striatal (Pohjalainen et al., 1998) and cortical (Kaasinen et al., 2001) D<sub>2</sub>Rs but also in DATs (Kaasinen et al., 2015, Varrone et al., 2013) and presynaptic dopamine synthesis capacity (Laakso et al., 2002). In addition, sex-specific hormones and genes play a role in the dopaminergic function and neuropsychiatric well-being (Loke et al., 2015, Haaxma et al., 2007). Hence, the sex-differences in the D<sub>2</sub>R level may reflect broader dopaminergic, as well as dopamine related hormonal and genetic differences between sexes, and those differences may together contribute sex-dependent prevalence of neuropsychiatric disorders.

#### 4.2. Effect of BMI

BMI was only weakly associated with higher D<sub>2</sub>R availability, mainly in putamen and thalamus. Although the modeling showed uncertainty in the BMI effect, the effect was systematically positive in each ROI. As most subjects had BMI in the range of 18-30, the effects are uncertain beyond this point, thus being uninformative regarding the most seriously obese phenotypes. Previous in vivo imaging studies have yielded mixed results on the association between BMI and dopamine

system suggesting i) diminished D<sub>2</sub>R availability in obesity (Wang et al., 2001, van de Giessen et al., 2014), ii) positive association after the age of 30 (Dang et al., 2016), and iii) no association between D<sub>2</sub>R availability and obesity with no effects of surgical weight loss on D<sub>2</sub>R availability (Karlsson et al., 2015, Karlsson et al., 2016). Previously, decreased dopamine function (van de Giessen et al., 2014), TaqA1 variant of D<sub>2</sub>R gene (Carpenter et al., 2013, Chen et al., 2012, Stice et al., 2008) and diminished incentive to physical exercise (high energy expenditure) (Beeler et al., 2016) has been linked to obesity. As dopamine contributes to food-related hedonia (Bray and Tartaglia, 2000), the decreased dopaminergic function could limit the rewarding effect of food-intake compensated by compulsive overeating (van de Giessen et al., 2014, Johnson and Kenny, 2010, Volkow et al., 2011), and amplify the saliency of food while the inhibitory control weakens (Volkow et al., 2011). Decreased dopamine function is supported by studies showing declined D<sub>2</sub>R in obesity both in humans (de Weijer et al., 2011, Wang et al., 2001, van de Giessen et al., 2014, Volkow et al., 2008) and in animals (Johnson and Kenny, 2010). However, in some studies these findings have not replicated, as the association between BMI and D<sub>2</sub>R was observed either positive and dependent on age (Dang et al., 2016) or nonexistent (Eisenstein et al., 2013, Karlsson et al., 2015, Karlsson et al., 2016). Some studies also point towards a curvilinear relationship between BMI and D<sub>2</sub>R, such that the association is positive up to a certain BMI level after which the relation turns negative (Cosgrove et al., 2015). The contribution of D<sub>2</sub>R genotype to obesity neither replicated in a large sample (Hardman et al., 2014). The present large-scale study shows that the age-adjusted association of BMI and D<sub>2</sub>R availability is positive and linear, at least up to BMI of 30. It is thus possible that the effect is reversed beyond that point, but the current dataset does not have sufficient data for higher BMIs thus precluding such modeling that would be of great interest to confirm our finding. Overall, even though the estimates have some degree of uncertainty, we found no evidence for a negative relationship between BMI and striatal D<sub>2</sub>R availability.

#### 4.3. Lateralization of D<sub>2</sub>Rs

Lateralization effects, strongest in nucleus accumbens (right > left), were subtle with stronger hemispheric asymmetry of D<sub>2</sub>R availability in males than in females. Our finding of greater hemispheric asymmetry of males versus females may have resulted from better statistical power in the male sample and overall, the lateralization of D<sub>2</sub>Rs remains uncertain (Hietala et al., 1994). However, some studies have found stronger left hemispheric lateralization of striatal D<sub>2</sub>R on preadolescent (Andersen and Teicher, 2000) and adult rats (Schneider et al., 1982). In humans, meta-analyses suggest that emotion-related brain activity is more lateralized in males than females (Wager et al., 2003). Although the direction of lateralization is region-specific, it is consistently and similarly as in our data greater for men (Wager et al., 2003). Accordingly, sex differences in lateralized emotional processing in the brain may link with D<sub>2</sub>R expression, but this issue needs to be addressed in future studies. Finally, hemispheric asymmetry might relate to reward experiences involving dopaminergic function. Self-administered cocaine exposure evokes D<sub>2</sub>R lateralization (left > right) in male monkeys (Czoty et al., 2007). In humans, evocative stimuli also elicit left lateralized brain activation, and in cocaine users (14 males, 3 females) it is particularly the cocaine-related cues that precede such activation (Garavan et al., 2000). As cerebral lateralization and addictive behavior may both be more common in males, the interplay between these factors should be investigated in more detail.

#### 4.4. Limitations

The data were acquired using five different scanners. Although we adjusted for the differences between the scanners using statistical modeling, this may have introduced noise in the data. The predictor vari-

ables were not optimally balanced, with relatively high sex-ratio (120 males and 36 females) and different age ranges across sexes. We also did not have complete documentation about the reconstruction algorithms that have been used for all the studies, thus these could not be taken into account. While the reconstruction algorithms are typically stable for a particular scanner in our site, it is possible that several different reconstruction algorithms have been used for some of the scanners. Our statistical model was however flexible with respect to such variation, as the residual variances could vary by scanner.

In addition to age, sex and BMI, previous studies have revealed that genetic polymorphisms, such as A1 allele (Pohjalainen et al., 1998) and C957T (Hirvonen et al., 2009), as well as other genetic and environmental factors that were not considered here may explain some of the individual differences in D<sub>2</sub>R availability (Jönsson et al., 1999). We used [11C]raclopride and BP<sub>ND</sub> to measure striatal D<sub>2</sub>R availability. BP<sub>ND</sub> being a product of receptor density and affinity (Mintun et al., 1984) of unoccupied receptors (Cumming et al., 2002), the level of binding reflects i) the D<sub>2</sub>R density, ii) the D<sub>2</sub>R affinity and iii) the D<sub>2</sub>R occupancy by endogenous dopamine (Ginovart, 2005, Innis et al., 2007, Volkow et al., 2009, Laruelle, 2000). Hence, using only one baseline image per subject we could not analyze receptor density and affinity separately (Slifstein and Laruelle, 2001). However, as the binding affinity between dopamine and D<sub>2</sub>R is assumed constant (Endres et al., 1997), as the endogenous dopamine does not override D<sub>2</sub>R antagonists (e.g. [11C]raclopride) as effectively as agonists (Cumming et al., 2002) and as we used baseline scans including no interventions boosting dopamine firing (Weinstein et al., 2018), we expect the BP<sub>ND</sub> to dominantly measure the D<sub>2</sub>R density.

#### 4.5. Conclusions

Striatal D<sub>2</sub>R availability decreases globally through age for both sexes. Females show on average 5-10% higher D<sub>2</sub>R availability than males. High BMI was associated with increased D<sub>2</sub>R availability, although this effect was only weak. D<sub>2</sub>R availability was more lateralized in males than in females, but the lateralization effects were overall subtle. Importantly, we confirmed that the template-based normalization method allows for accurate global ROI-level modeling of the PET data when deformation-field-based spatial normalization method is not possible due to missing MR image. In sum, D<sub>2</sub>R availability is dependent on subject demographics, particularly on age and sex. These effects may contribute to age and sex dependent prevalence in neurological and psychiatric conditions involving altered D<sub>2</sub>R expression.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Tuulia Malén:** Visualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Tomi Karjalainen:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Visualization, Supervision, Validation, Formal analysis. **Janne Isojärvi:** Software, Data curation. **Aki Vehtari:** Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Paul-Christian Bürkner:** Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Vesa Putkinen:** Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Visualization. **Valtteri Kaasinen:** Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Jarmo Hietala:** Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. **Pirjo Nuutila:** Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. **Juha Rinne:** Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. **Lauri Nummenmaa:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Academy of Finland (grants #294897 and #332225 to L.N.), the Sigrid Jusélius Foundation (grant to L.N.), the Päivikki and Sakari Sohlberg Foundation (personal grant to T.M.) and the State research funding for expert responsibility area (ERVA) of the Tyks Turku University Hospital (personal grants to T.M. and L.N.). We thank senior researchers Marco Bucci and Semi Helin, as well as Professor Kari Auranen for sharing their expertise on kinetic modeling, radiochemistry and Bayesian data analysis, respectively. We also thank Post-Doctoral researcher Jouni Tuisku for his consultancy and help with image preprocessing and data management, as well as hospital physicist Tuula Tolvanen and Jukka Ihalainen for sharing their knowledge on the physical properties of the scanners.

#### Disclosures

Authors have nothing to disclose.

#### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jadr.2022.100345.

#### References

- Beaulieu, J M, Espinoza, S, Gainetdinov, R R, 2015. Dopamine receptors—IUPHAR review 13. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 172, 1–23.
- Jackson, D M, Westlind-Danielsson, A, 1994. Dopamine receptors: molecular biology, biochemistry and behavioural aspects. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 64, 291–370.
- Calabresi, P, Picconi, B, Tozzi, A, Di Filippo, M, 2007. Dopamine-mediated regulation of corticostriatal synaptic plasticity. *Trends Neurosci.* 30, 211–219.
- Juárez Olguín, H, Calderon Guzman, D, Hernandez Garcia, E, Barragan Mejia, G, 2016. The role of dopamine and its dysfunction as a consequence of oxidative stress. *Oxidative Med. Cell. Longevity* 2016.
- Roitman, M F, Stuber, G D, Phillips, P E M, Wightman, R M, Carelli, R M, 2004. Dopamine operates as a subsecond modulator of food seeking. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 1265.
- Bonci, A, Hopf, F W, 2005. The dopamine D2 receptor: new surprises from an old friend. *Neuron* 47, 335–338.
- Leggio, G M, Bucolo, C, Platania, C B M, Salomone, S, Drago, F, 2016. Current drug treatments targeting dopamine D3 receptor. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 165, 164–177.
- Maia, T V, Frank, M J, 2011. From reinforcement learning models to psychiatric and neurological disorders. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 154–162.
- Beaulieu, J-M, Gainetdinov, R R, 2011. The physiology, signaling, and pharmacology of dopamine receptors. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 63, 182–217.
- De Keyser, J, Claeys, A, De Backer, J-P, Ebinger, G, Roels, F, Vauquelin, G, 1988. Autoradiographic localization of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors in the human brain. *Neurosci. Lett.* 91, 142–147.
- Usiello, A, Baik, J-H, Rougé-Pont, F, Picetti, R, Dierich, A, LeMeur, M, et al., 2000. Distinct functions of the two isoforms of dopamine D2 receptors. *Nature* 408, 199–203.
- Breier, A, Su, T P, Saunders, R, Carson, R E, Kolachana, B S, de Bartolomeis, A, et al., 1997. Schizophrenia is associated with elevated amphetamine-induced synaptic dopamine concentrations: Evidence from a novel positron emission tomography method. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 94, 2569.
- Laruelle, M, 1998. Imaging dopamine transmission in schizophrenia. A review and meta-analysis. *Q. J. Nucl. Med.* 42, 211–221.
- Wong, D F, Wagner, Jr., H N, Tune, L E, Dannals, R F, Pearlson, G D, Links, J M, et al., 1986. Positron emission tomography reveals elevated D2 dopamine receptors in drug-naïve schizophrenics. *Science* 234, 1558–1563.
- Guma, E, Rocchetti, J, Devenyi, G A, Tanti, A, Mathieu, A, Lerch, J P, et al., 2018. Regional brain volume changes following chronic antipsychotic administration are mediated by the dopamine D2 receptor. *Neuroimage* 176, 226–238.
- Zarrindast, M-R, Khakpai, F, 2015. The modulatory role of dopamine in anxiety-like behavior. *Arch. Iran. Med.* 18, 0–0.
- Nummenmaa, L, Karjalainen, T, Isojärvi, J, Kantonen, T, Tuisku, J, Kaasinen, V, et al., 2020. Lowered endogenous mu-opioid receptor availability in subclinical depression and anxiety. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 45, 1953–1959.
- Simpson, E H, Winiger, V, Biezonski, D K, Haq, I, Kandel, E R, Kellendonk, C, 2014. Selective overexpression of dopamine D3 receptors in the striatum disrupts motivation but not cognition. *Biol. Psychiatry* 76, 823–831.
- D’haenen, H A, Bossuyt, A, 1994. Dopamine D2 receptors in depression measured with single photon emission computed tomography. *Biol. Psychiatry* 35, 128–132.
- Hirvonen, J, Karlsson, H, Kajander, J, Marikkula, J, Rasi-Hakala, H, Nägren, K, et al., 2008. Striatal dopamine D2 receptors in medication-naïve patients with major depressive disorder as assessed with [11 C] raclopride PET. *Psychopharmacology* 197, 581–590.
- Kaasinen, V, Vahlberg, T, Stoessl, A J, Strafella, A P, Antonini, A, 2021. Dopamine receptors in Parkinson’s disease: a meta-analysis of imaging studies. *Mov. Disord.*
- Seeman, P, Niznik, H B, 1990. Dopamine receptors and transporters in Parkinson’s disease



- and schizophrenia. *FASEB J.* 4, 2737–2744.
- Elbaz, A, Carcaillon, L, Kab, S, Moisan, F, 2016. Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. *Rev. Neurol.* 172, 14–26.
- Volkow, N D, Fowler, J S, Wang, G-J, 2003. The addicted human brain: insights from imaging studies. *J. Clin. Invest.* 111, 1444–1451.
- Volkow, N, Fowler, J, Wang, G, Baler, R, Telang, F, 2009. Imaging dopamine's role in drug abuse and addiction. *Neuropharmacology* 56, 3–8.
- Antonini, A, Leenders, K L, Reist, H, Thomann, R, Beer, H-F, Locher, J, 1993. Effect of age on D2 dopamine receptors in normal human brain measured by positron emission tomography and 11C-raclopride. *Arch. Neurol.* 50, 474–480.
- Kim, J-H, Son, Y-D, Kim, H-K, Lee, S-Y, Cho, S-E, Kim, Y-B, et al., 2011. Effects of age on dopamine D2 receptor availability in striatal subdivisions: a high-resolution positron emission tomography study. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 21, 885–891.
- Rinne, J O, Hietala, J, Ruotsalainen, U, Sääkö, E, Laihinne, A, Nägren, K, et al., 1993. Decrease in human striatal dopamine D2 receptor density with age: a PET study with [11C] raclopride. *J. Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol.* 13, 310–314.
- Dang, L C, Samanez-Larkin, G R, Castellon, J J, Perkins, S F, Cowan, R L, Zald, D H, 2016. Associations between dopamine D2 receptor availability and BMI depend on age. *Neuroimage* 138, 176–183.
- Pohjalainen, T, Rinne, J O, Nägren, K, Syvälahti, E, Hietala, J, 1998. Sex differences in the striatal dopamine D2 receptor binding characteristics in vivo. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 155, 768–773.
- Fazio, P, Schain, M, Mrzljak, L, Amini, N, Nag, S, Al-Tawil, N, et al., 2017. Patterns of age related changes for phosphodiesterase type-10A in comparison with dopamine D2/3 receptors and sub-cortical volumes in the human basal ganglia: A PET study with 18F-MNI-659 and 11C-raclopride with correction for partial volume effect. *Neuroimage* 152, 330–339.
- Wang, G-J, Volkow, N D, Logan, J, Pappas, N R, Wong, C T, Zhu, W, et al., 2001. Brain dopamine and obesity. *Lancet North Am. Ed.* 357, 354–357.
- Karlsson, H K, Tuominen, L, Tuulari, J J, Hirvonen, J, Parkkola, R, Helin, S, et al., 2015. Obesity is associated with decreased  $\mu$ -opioid but unaltered dopamine D2 receptor availability in the brain. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 3959–3965.
- Poldrack, R A, Baker, C I, Durnez, J, Gorgolewski, K J, Matthews, P M, Munafò, M R, et al., 2017. Scanning the horizon: towards transparent and reproducible neuroimaging research. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 18, 115.
- Button, K S, Ioannidis, J P, Mokrysz, C, Nosek, B A, Flint, J, Robinson, E S, et al., 2013. Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 14, 365–376.
- Simmons, J P, Nelson, L D, Simonsohn, U, 2011. False-positive psychology: Undisclosed flexibility in data collection and analysis allows presenting anything as significant. *Psychol. Sci.* 22, 1359–1366.
- Eklund, A, Nichols, T E, Knutsson, H, 2016. Cluster failure: why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 113, 7900–7905.
- Kantonen, T, Karjalainen, T, Isojärvi, J, Nuutila, P, Tuisku, J, Rinne, J, et al., 2020. Interindividual variability and lateralization of  $\mu$ -opioid receptors in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 217, 116922.
- Lindquist, M A, Gelman, A, 2009. Correlations and multiple comparisons in functional imaging: a statistical perspective (Commentary on Vul et al., 2009). *Perspectives Psychol. Sci.* 4, 310–313.
- Gelman, A, Hill, J, Yajima, M, 2012. Why we (usually) don't have to worry about multiple comparisons. *J. Res. Edu. Effect.* 5, 189–211.
- Farde, L, Ehrin, E, Eriksson, L, Greitz, T, Hall, H, Hedström, C, et al., 1985. Substituted benzamides as ligands for visualization of dopamine receptor binding in the human brain by positron emission tomography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 82, 3863–3867.
- Farde, L, Eriksson, L, Blomquist, G, Halldin, C, 1989. Kinetic analysis of central [11C] raclopride binding to D2-dopamine receptors studied by PET—a comparison to the equilibrium analysis. *J. Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol.* 9, 696–708.
- Mishra, A, Singh, S, Shukla, S, 2018. Physiological and functional basis of dopamine receptors and their role in neurogenesis: possible implication for Parkinson's disease. *J. Experim. Neurosci.* 12, 1179069518779829.
- Hirvonen, J, Aalto, S, Lumme, V, Nägren, K, Kajander, J, Vilkmann, H, et al., 2003. Measurement of striatal and thalamic dopamine D2 receptor binding with 11C-raclopride. *Nucl. Med. Commun.* 24, 1207–1214.
- Ginovart, N, 2005. Imaging the dopamine system with in vivo [11 C] raclopride displacement studies: understanding the true mechanism. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 7, 45–52.
- Cárdenas, L, Houle, S, Kapur, S, Busto, U E, 2004. Oral D-amphetamine causes prolonged displacement of [11C] raclopride as measured by PET. *Synapse* 51, 27–31.
- Innis, R B, Cunningham, V J, Delforge, J, Fujita, M, Gjedde, A, Gunn, R N, et al., 2007. Consensus nomenclature for in vivo imaging of reversibly binding radioligands. *J. Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol.* 27, 1533–1539.
- Alakurtti, K, Johansson, J J, Joutsa, J, Laine, M, Bäckman, L, Nyberg, L, et al., 2015. Long-term test–retest reliability of striatal and extrastriatal dopamine D2/3 receptor binding: study with [11C] raclopride and high-resolution PET. *J. Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol.* 35, 1199–1205.
- Freiburghaus, T, Svensson, J E, Matheson, G J, Plavén-Sigra, P, Lundberg, J, Farde, L, et al., 2021. Low convergent validity of [11C] raclopride binding in extrastriatal brain regions: a PET study of within-subject correlations with [11C] FLB 457. *Neuroimage* 226, 117523.
- Svensson, J E, Schain, M, Plavén-Sigra, P, Cervenka, S, Tiger, M, Nord, M, et al., 2019. Validity and reliability of extrastriatal [11C] raclopride binding quantification in the living human brain. *Neuroimage* 202, 116143.
- Papenberg, G, Jonasson, L, Karalija, N, Johansson, J, Köhncke, Y, Salami, A, et al., 2019. Mapping the landscape of human dopamine D2/3 receptors with [11 C] raclopride. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 224, 2871–2882.
- Karjalainen, T, Tuisku, J, Santavirta, S, Kantonen, T, Bucci, M, Tuominen, L, et al., 2020. Magia: robust automated image processing and kinetic modeling toolbox for PET neuroinformatics. *Front. Neuroinf.* 14, 3.
- Lammertsma, A A, Hume, S P, 1996. Simplified reference tissue model for PET receptor studies. *Neuroimage* 4, 153–158.
- Hall, H, Farde, L, Halldin, C, Hurd, Y L, Pauli, S, Sedvall, G, 1996. Autoradiographic localization of extrastriatal D2-dopamine receptors in the human brain using [125I] epidepride. *Synapse* 23, 115–123.
- R Core Team (2021): R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL [https:// www.R-project.org/](https://www.R-project.org/).
- Bürkner, P-C, 2017. brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *J. Stat. Softw.* 80, 1–28.
- Bürkner, P-C, 2018. Advanced Bayesian multilevel modeling with the R package brms. *R. J.* 10.
- Stan Development Team (2020): RStan: the R interface to Stan. R package version 2.21.2. <http://mc-stan.org/>.
- Gabry, J, Simpson, D, Vehtari, A, Betancourt, M, Gelman, A, 2019. Visualization in Bayesian workflow. *J. Royal Stat. Soc.* 182, 389–402.
- Gelman, A, Carlin, J B, Stern, H S, Dunson, D B, Vehtari, A, Rubin, D B, 2013. Bayesian data analysis. CRC press.
- Gelman, A, Hill, J, 2006. Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. Cambridge university press.
- Neath, A A, Flores, J E, Cavanaugh, J E, 2018. Bayesian multiple comparisons and model selection. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Stat.* 10, e1420.
- Bakan, P, Putnam, W, 1974. Right-left discrimination and brain lateralization: sex differences. *Arch. Neurol.* 30, 334–335.
- Toga, A W, Thompson, P M, 2003. Mapping brain asymmetry. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 4, 37–48.
- Wager, T D, Phan, K L, Liberzon, I, Taylor, S F, 2003. Valence, gender, and lateralization of functional brain anatomy in emotion: a meta-analysis of findings from neuroimaging. *Neuroimage* 19, 513–531.
- Volkow, N D, Logan, J, Fowler, J S, Wang, G-J, Gur, R C, Wong, C, et al., 2000. Association between age-related decline in brain dopamine activity and impairment in frontal and cingulate metabolism. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 157, 75–80.
- Mukherjee, J, Christian, B T, Dunigan, K A, Shi, B, Narayanan, T K, Satter, M, et al., 2002. Brain imaging of 18F-fallypride in normal volunteers: Blood analysis, distribution, test-retest studies, and preliminary assessment of sensitivity to aging effects on dopamine D-2/D-3 receptors. *Synapse* 46, 170–188.
- Ichise, M, Ballinger, J R, Tanaka, F, Moscovitch, M, George-Hyslop, P H S, Raphael, D, et al., 1998. Age-related changes in D2 receptor binding with iodine-123-iodobenzofuran SPECT. *J. Nucl. Med.* 39, 1511–1517.
- Nagatsu, T, 2000. Molecular mechanisms of neurotransmission. *Rinsho shinkeigaku = Clinical Neurol.* 40, 1185–1188.
- Kish, S J, Shannak, K, Rajput, A, Deck, J H, Hornykiewicz, O, 1992. Aging produces a specific pattern of striatal dopamine loss: implications for the etiology of idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurochem.* 58, 642–648.
- Kaasinen, V, Joutsa, J, Noponen, T, Johansson, J, Seppänen, M, 2015. Effects of aging and gender on striatal and extrastriatal [123I] FP-CIT binding in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 36, 1757–1763.
- Haaxma, C A, Bloem, B R, Borm, G F, Oyen, W J, Leenders, K L, Eshuis, S, et al., 2007. Gender differences in Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 78, 819–824.
- Karrer, T M, Josef, A K, Mata, R, Morris, E D, Samanez-Larkin, G R, 2017. Reduced dopamine receptors and transporters but not synthesis capacity in normal aging adults: a meta-analysis. *Neurobiol. Aging* 57, 36–46.
- van Dyck, C H, Seibyl, J P, Malison, R T, Laruelle, M, Wallace, E, Zoghbi, S S, et al., 1995. Age-related decline in striatal dopamine transporter binding with iodine-123- $\beta$ -CITSPECT. *J. Nucl. Med.* 36, 1175–1181.
- Volkow, N D, Ding, Y-S, Fowler, J S, Wang, G-J, Logan, J, Gatley, S J, et al., 1996. Dopamine transporters decrease with age. *J. Nucl. Med.* 37, 554–559.
- Peters, R, 2006. Ageing and the brain. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 82, 84–88.
- Royall, D R, Lauterbach, E C, Cummings, J L, Reeve, A, Rummans, T A, Kaufer, D I, et al., 2002. Executive control function: a review of its promise and challenges for clinical research. A report from the committee on research of the American neuropsychiatric association. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 14, 377–405.
- Wong, D F, Broussolle, E P, Wand, G, Villemagne, V, Dannals, R F, Links, J M, et al., 1988. In vivo measurement of dopamine receptors in human brain by positron emission tomography age and sex differences. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 515, 203–214.
- Wong Dean, F, Wagner Henry, N, Dannals Robert, F, Links Jonathan, M, Frost, J J, Ravert Hayden, T, et al., 1984. Effects of age on dopamine and serotonin receptors measured by positron tomography in the living human brain. *Science* 226, 1393–1396.
- Laakso, A, Vilkmann, H, Örgen Bergman, J, Haaparanta, M, Solin, O, Syvälahti, E, et al., 2002. Sex differences in striatal presynaptic dopamine synthesis capacity in healthy subjects. *Biol. Psychiatry* 52, 759–763.
- Loke, H, Harley, V, Lee, J, 2015. Biological factors underlying sex differences in neurological disorders. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 65, 139–150.
- Wong, D F, Pearson, G D, Tune, L E, Young, L T, Meltzer, C C, Dannals, R F, et al., 1997. Quantification of neuroreceptors in the living human brain: IV. Effect of aging and elevations of D2-like receptors in schizophrenia and bipolar illness. *J. Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol.* 17, 331–342.
- Farde, L, Wiesel, F-A, Hall, H, Halldin, C, Stone-Elander, S, Sedvall, G, 1987. No D2 receptor increase in PET study of schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 44, 671–672.
- Farde, L, Wiesel, F-A, Stone-Elander, S, Halldin, C, Nordström, A-L, Hall, H, et al., 1990. D2 dopamine receptors in neuroleptic-naive schizophrenic patients: a positron emission tomography study with [11C] raclopride. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 47, 213–219.



- Kalaydjian, A, Swendsen, J, Chiu, W-T, Dierker, L, Degenhardt, L, Glantz, M, et al., 2009. Sociodemographic predictors of transitions across stages of alcohol use, disorders, and remission in the national comorbidity survey replication. *Compr. Psychiatry* 50, 299–306.
- Volkow, N D, Wang, G J, Fowler, J S, Logan, J, Hitzemann, R, Ding, Y S, et al., 1996. Decreases in dopamine receptors but not in dopamine transporters in alcoholics. *Alcoholism* 20, 1594–1598.
- James, S L, Abate, D, Abate, K H, Abay, S M, Abbafati, C, Abbasi, N, et al., 2018. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet North Am. Ed.* 392, 1789–1858.
- Becker, J B, Hu, M, 2008. Sex differences in drug abuse. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 29, 36–47.
- Clark, L, Stokes, P R, Wu, K, Michalczyk, R, Benecke, A, Watson, B J, et al., 2012. Striatal dopamine D2/D3 receptor binding in pathological gambling is correlated with mood-related impulsivity. *Neuroimage* 63, 40–46.
- Pohjalainen, T, Rinne, J, Nägren, K, Lehtikoinen, P, Anttila, K, Syvälahti, E, et al., 1998. The A1 allele of the human D 2 dopamine receptor gene predicts low D 2 receptor availability in healthy volunteers. *Mol. Psychiatry* 3, 256–260.
- Blum, K, Noble, E P, Sheridan, P J, Montgomery, A, Ritchie, T, Jagadeeswaran, P, et al., 1990. Allelic association of human dopamine D2 receptor gene in alcoholism. *JAMA* 263, 2055–2060.
- Kaasinen, V, Nägren, K, Hietala, J, Farde, L, Rinne, J O, 2001. Sex differences in extrastriatal dopamine D2-like receptors in the human brain. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 158, 308–311.
- Varrone, A, Dickson, J C, Tossici-Bolt, L, Sera, T, Asenbaum, S, Booij, J, et al., 2013. European multicentre database of healthy controls for [123 I] FP-CIT SPECT (ENC-DAT): age-related effects, gender differences and evaluation of different methods of analysis. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 40, 213–227.
- van de Giessen, E, Celik, F, Schweitzer, D H, van den Brink, W, Booij, J, 2014. Dopamine D2/3 receptor availability and amphetamine-induced dopamine release in obesity. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 28, 866–873.
- Karlsson, H K, Tuulari, J J, Tuominen, L, Hirvonen, J, Honka, H, Parkkola, R, et al., 2016. Weight loss after bariatric surgery normalizes brain opioid receptors in morbid obesity. *Mol. Psychiatry* 21, 1057–1062.
- Carpenter, C L, Wong, A M, Li, Z, Noble, E P, Heber, D, 2013. Association of dopamine D2 receptor and leptin receptor genes with clinically severe obesity. *Obesity* 21, E467–E473.
- Chen, A L, Blum, K, Chen, T J, Giordano, J, Downs, B W, Han, D, et al., 2012. Correlation of the Taq 1 dopamine D2 receptor gene and percent body fat in obese and screened control subjects: a preliminary report. *Food Function* 3, 40–48.
- Stice, E, Spoor, S, Bohon, C, Small, D, 2008. Relation between obesity and blunted striatal response to food is moderated by Taq1A A1 allele. *Science* 322, 449–452.
- Beeler, J A, Faust, R P, Turkson, S, Ye, H, Zhuang, X, 2016. Low dopamine D2 receptor increases vulnerability to obesity via reduced physical activity, not increased appetitive motivation. *Biol. Psychiatry* 79, 887–897.
- Bray, G A, Tartaglia, L A, 2000. Medicinal strategies in the treatment of obesity. *Nature* 404, 672–677.
- Johnson, P M, Kenny, P J, 2010. Dopamine D2 receptors in addiction-like reward dysfunction and compulsive eating in obese rats. *Nat. Neurosci.* 13, 635.
- Volkow, N D, Wang, G-J, Baler, R D, 2011. Reward, dopamine and the control of food intake: implications for obesity. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 15, 37–46.
- de Weijer, B A, van de Giessen, E, van Amelsvoort, T A, Boot, E, Braak, B, Janssen, I M, et al., 2011. Lower striatal dopamine D 2/3 receptor availability in obese compared with non-obese subjects. *EJNMMI Res.* 1, 1–5.
- Volkow, N D, Wang, G-J, Telang, F, Fowler, J S, Thanos, P K, Logan, J, et al., 2008. Low dopamine striatal D2 receptors are associated with prefrontal metabolism in obese subjects: possible contributing factors. *Neuroimage* 42, 1537–1543.
- Eisenstein, S A, Antenor-Dorsey, J A V, Gredysa, D M, Koller, J M, Bihun, E C, Ranck, S A, et al., 2013. A comparison of D2 receptor specific binding in obese and normal-weight individuals using PET with (N-[11C] methyl) benperidol. *Synapse* 67, 748–756.
- Karlsson, H K, Tuominen, L, Tuulari, J J, Hirvonen, J, Honka, H, Parkkola, R, et al., 2016. Weight loss after bariatric surgery normalizes brain opioid receptors in morbid obesity. *Mol. Psychiatry* 21, 1057–1062.
- Cosgrove, K P, Veldhuizen, M G, Sandiego, C M, Morris, E D, Small, D M, 2015. Opposing relationships of BMI with BOLD and dopamine D2/3 receptor binding potential in the dorsal striatum. *Synapse* 69, 195–202.
- Hardman, C, Rogers, P, Timpson, N, Munafo, M, 2014. Lack of association between DRD2 and OPRM1 genotypes and adiposity. *Int. J. Obes.* 38, 730–736.
- Hietala, J, Syvälahti, E, Vuorio, K, Nägren, K, Lehtikoinen, P, Ruotsalainen, U, et al., 1994. Striatal D2 dopamine receptor characteristics in neuroleptic-naive schizophrenic patients studied with positron emission tomography. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 51, 116–123.
- Andersen, S L, Teicher, M H, 2000. Sex differences in dopamine receptors and their relevance to ADHD. *Neurosci. Biobehavioral Rev.* 24, 137–141.
- Schneider, L H, Murphy, R B, Coons, E E, 1982. Lateralization of striatal dopamine (D2) receptors in normal rats. *Neurosci. Lett.* 33, 281–284.
- Czoty, P W, Gage, H D, Nader, S H, Reboussin, B A, Bounds, M, Nader, M A, 2007. PET imaging of dopamine D2 receptor and transporter availability during acquisition of cocaine self-administration in rhesus monkeys. *J. Addict. Med.* 1, 33–39.
- Garavan, H, Pankiewicz, J, Bloom, A, Cho, J-K, Sperry, L, Ross, T J, et al., 2000. Cue-induced cocaine craving: neuroanatomical specificity for drug users and drug stimuli. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 157, 1789–1798.
- Hirvonen, M M, Laakso, A, Nägren, K, Rinne, J O, Pohjalainen, T, Hietala, J, 2009. C957T polymorphism of dopamine D2 receptor gene affects striatal DRD2 in vivo availability by changing the receptor affinity. *Synapse* 63, 907–912.
- Jönsson, E G, Nöthen, M M, Grünhage, F, Farde, L, Nakashima, Y, Propping, P, et al., 1999. Polymorphisms in the dopamine D2 receptor gene and their relationships to striatal dopamine receptor density of healthy volunteers. *Mol. Psychiatry* 4, 290–296.
- Mintun, M A, Raichle, M E, Kilbourn, M R, Wooten, G F, Welch, M J, 1984. A quantitative model for the in vivo assessment of drug binding sites with positron emission tomography. *Annals Neurol.* 15, 217–227.
- Cumming, P, Wong, D F, Dannals, R F, Gillings, N, Hilton, J, Scheffel, U, et al., 2002. The competition between endogenous dopamine and radioligands for specific binding to dopamine receptors. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 965, 440–450.
- Laruelle, M, 2000. Imaging synaptic neurotransmission with in vivo binding competition techniques: a critical review. *J. Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol.* 20, 423–451.
- Slifstein, M, Laruelle, M, 2001. Models and methods for derivation of in vivo neuroreceptor parameters with PET and SPECT reversible radiotracers. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 28, 595–608.
- Endres, C J, Kolachana, B S, Saunders, R C, Su, T, Weinberger, D, Breier, A, et al., 1997. Kinetic modeling of [11C] raclopride: combined PET-microdialysis studies. *J. Cerebral Blood Flow Metabol.* 17, 932–942.
- Weinstein, J J, van de Giessen, E, Rosengard, R J, Xu, X, Ojeil, N, Brucato, G, et al., 2018. PET imaging of dopamine-D2 receptor internalization in schizophrenia. *Mol. Psychiatry* 23, 1506–1511.