



J Tampereen yliopisto Tampere University

Human Potential Unlimited.

Pharmacokinetic modeling of PET neuroimaging data

Jussi Hirvonen Professor of Radiology Tampere University jussi.hirvonen@tuni.fi



Jussi Hirvonen, MD; Theo G. M. van Erp, MA; Jukka Huttunen, MD; Sargo Aalto, MSc; Kjell Någren, PhD; Matti Huttunen, MD, PhD; Jouko Lönnqvist, MD, PhD; Jaakko Kaprio, MD, PhD; Jarmo Hietala, MD, PhD; Tyrone D. Cannon, PhD Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism (2007) 27, 1533–1539 © 2007 ISCBFM All rights reserved 0271-678X/07 \$30.00

www.jcbfm.com

Review Article

Consensus nomenclature for *in vivo* imaging of reversibly binding radioligands

Robert B Innis¹, Vincent J Cunningham², Jacques Delforge³, Masahiro Fujita¹, Albert Gjedde⁴, Roger N Gunn⁵, James Holden⁶, Sylvain Houle⁷, Sung-Cheng Huang⁸, Masanori Ichise⁹, Hidehiro Iida¹⁰, Hiroshi Ito¹¹, Yuichi Kimura¹², Robert A Koeppe¹³, Gitte M Knudsen¹⁴, Juhani Knuuti¹⁵, Adriaan A Lammertsma¹⁶, Marc Laruelle², Jean Logan¹⁷, Ralph Paul Maguire¹⁸, Mark A Mintun¹⁹, Evan D Morris²⁰, Ramin Parsey⁹, Julie C Price²¹, Mark Slifstein⁹, Vesna Sossi²², Tetsuya Suhara¹¹, John R Votaw²³, Dean F Wong²⁴ and Richard E Carson²⁵

TURKU PET CENTRE

Main TPC site About this site Site search Contents

MODELLING Guide Simulation Reports Study design

ANALYSIS Analysis flowchart Imaging targets Radiotracers Quantification Table of methods File formats PET software Scripts

About site turkupetcentre.net



In vitro receptor binding concepts

 B_{max} = concentration of receptor sites K_D = dissociation contast (conversely, $1/K_D$ = affinity of each receptor) $BP_F = B_{max}/K_D$ = binding potential

The Law of Mass Action

"The rate of **association** is proportionate to the concentrations of the reactants, and the rate of **dissociation** is proportionate to the concentration of the complex."



- R = receptor
- *LR* = ligand-receptor complex
- $k_{\rm on}$ = the rate constant of association
 - = bimolecular association rate (nM⁻¹min⁻¹)

 k_{off} = the rate constant of dissociation (min⁻¹)

The Law of Mass Action

Thus, [LR] will increase in proportion to the product [L][R] and decrease in proportion to [LR]:

$$\frac{d[LR]}{dt} = k_{on}[L][R] - k_{off}[LR]$$

Dynamic equilibrium

At equilibrium, the rate of association equals the rate of dissociation:

$$\frac{d[LR]}{dt} = 0 \quad \text{, thus} \quad k_{on}[L][R] = k_{off}[LR]$$

rearrangement gives:



Dissociation constant, units of concentration (nM)

"Michaelis-Menten" equation for receptor binding

- Redefine:
 - B = [LR] = concentration of bound ligand

F = [L] = concentration of free (unbound) ligand

• Total concentration of receptors:

 $\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{max}} = [\mathsf{LR}] + [\mathsf{R}]$

• Concentration of available receptors:

$$B_{max}' = B_{max} - B = [R]$$

"Michaelis-Menten" equation for receptor binding



"Michaelis-Menten" equation for receptor binding

Solving for B: $B = \frac{B_{\rm max}F}{K_D + F}$

The "Michaelis-Menten" relationship





Saturation binding curve

• Slope of the saturation binding curve:



PET: tracer doses

- In PET, minuscule amounts of the radiotracer are injected ("tracer" dose)
- Only <1% of the receptors are occupied (ideally)
- No pharmacological effects expected
- Molar activity (A_m, MBq/nmol): amount of labeled molecules relative to unlabeled ("cold", "carrier") molecules
 - High $A_{\rm m}$: tracer dose, <1% occupancy
 - Low A_m: significant occupancy at receptors!

PET: tracer doses

Thus, *F*<<*K*_D (the latter being the concentration at which 50 % of the receptors are occupied), and:



Saturation binding curve



Image courtesy of Robert B. Innis (NIMH, USA)

Saturation binding curve



Scatchard linearization

Rearrangement of the "Michaelis-Menten" equation gives:



Scatchard linearization



Image courtesy of Robert B. Innis (NIMH, USA)

Major differences between *in vitro* measurements and *in vivo* PET

- In vivo PET: usually, tracer doses are used (F<<K_D)
- Thus, receptors are <u>not</u> occupied at all $\rightarrow B_{max}$ or K_D cannot be measured separately, only their ratio (BP_F) !
- In vitro, multiple levels of saturation is used to describe B_{max} and K_{D}
- *In vivo* PET: regional blood flow, extraction, binding to plasma proteins, non-specific binding, multiple populations of specific binding sites, internal milieu (pH, ion concentrations etc), radioactive metabolites of the radiotracer, endogenous neurotransmitters, factors related to PET instrumentation...

Interpretation of *in vivo* binding potential differences

From a pharmacological point of view, if *BP*_F differs between individuals, what is different?

- *B*_{max}: different individuals have different concentrations of receptors

- K_D : property of a single receptor: *eg*. conformational changes in the receptor protein structure may lead to differences in K_D

Receptor occupancy



Competitive inhibition with PET

- Endogenous neurotransmitters or exogenous substances compete with the radioligand in binding to receptors
- Competitive inhibition can be studied with PET using two tracer dose scans: one in baseline, and another after pharmacological challenge
- Changes in *BP* are considered to reflect changes in the synaptic concentrations of endogenous neurotransmitters

Competitive inhibition with PET

- But what alters *in vivo* BP_F in competitive inhibition?
 - B_{max} : the total concentration of receptor <u>cannot</u> change, otherwise not competitive inhibition!
 - $K_{\rm D}$: the affinity of each receptor <u>cannot</u> change in competitive inhibition!
- Introducing a new term: apparent affinity



Pharmacological interpretation of $BP_{\rm F}$ in vivo



 $K_{\rm D}$ = equilibrium dissociation constant of <u>the tracer</u> $F_{\rm i}$ = concentration of *i* <u>competing substances</u> $K_{\rm Di}$ = equilibrium dissociation constant of *i* <u>competing substances</u>

Occupancy

For the measurement of occupancy of endogenous or exogenous ligands using two PET scans with tracer doses:

Occupancy (%) =
$$\frac{BP_{BEFORE} - BP_{AFTER}}{BP_{BEFORE}} * (100\%)$$

Scatchard analysis *in vivo* for the differentiation of B_{max} and K_{D}

- Multiple PET scans are needed with decreasing specific activities
 - Thus, gradually increasing the amount of unlabeled ("cold") radioligand to yield significant occupancy at the receptors
- From multiple observations, pairs of B and B/F are calculated and plotted in the Scatchard plot
 - B can be measured at equilibrium as $C_B(t)/A_m$, where $C_B(t)=C_T(t)-C_{REF}(t)$
 - B/F can be measured as C_B/C_{REF}

Scatchard analysis in vivo for the differentiation of B_{max} and K_{D}



Scatchard analysis in vivo for the differentiation of B_{max} and K_{D} High A_{m} , negligible occupancy



Image courtesy of Robert B. Innis (NIMH, USA)

Confounding factors and complications

- Properties of the radioligand
 - Target receptor population (affinity states etc.)
 - Physiological receptor variants
 - Is it comparable to the endogenous ligand?
- Receptor trafficking
 - Agonist-induced receptor internalization
 - How does is affect B_{max} ?
 - Do PET radioligands bind to internalized receptors? How?
- Non-competitive inhibition, changes in receptor conformation

Full compartmental model



Practically, too many parameters to achieve reliable fits...

Full compartmental model

- $C_{\rm P}$ = radioactivity concentration in <u>arterial plasma</u>
- $C_{\rm F}$ = radioactivity concentration of <u>free radioligand in tissue</u>
- C_B = radioactivity concentration of <u>specifically bound radioligand</u>
- C_{NS} = radioactivity concentration of <u>non-specifically bound radioligand</u>
- K_1 = rate constant for transit between plasma and tissue (ml tissue)/(ml plasma)/min
- k_2 = rate constant for transit between tissue and plasma (min⁻¹)
- k_3 , k_4 = rate constants for transit between free and specifically bound compartments and vice versa (min⁻¹)
- k₅, k₆ = rate constants for transit between free and non-specifically bound compartments and vice versa (min⁻¹)

Assumption in all compartmental models

- Only free radioligand in arterial plasma in considered to pass the blood-brain barrier
- Free radioligand in plasma = not bound to proteins
- The fraction of total plasma radioactivity originating from free radioligand = f_P

Standard 3-compartmental model



$$C_{PET} = (1 - V_b)C_T + V_bC_{wb}; \quad C_T = C_{F+NS} + C_B$$

Assumptions in the 3-compartmental model

- Free and non-specifically bound compartments are assumed to be at equilibrium rapidly
- Thus, these are treated as a single compartment
- The fraction of radioactivity in this combined compartment originating from free radioligand = f_{ND}
Volume of distribution (V_{T})

The ratio of radioactivity concentration in a compartment and in plasma:



 V_j = the distribution volume of the *j*th compartment C_j = radioactivity concentration in the *j*th compartment f_P = plasma "free fraction"

 $C_{\rm P}$ = radioactivity concentration in arterial plasma

Derivation of V_T from rate constants: Total V_T for 2-compartmental model

 $\frac{dC_T}{dt} = K_1 C_P - k_2 C_T$

Derivation of V_T from rate constants: Total V_T for 2-compartmental model

At equilibrium, no net transfer between plasma and tissue:

$$\frac{dC_T}{dt} = 0 \quad ; \quad K_1 C_P = k_2 C_T$$



Derivation of V_T from rate constants: Total V_T for 3-compartmental model

$$C_T = C_{F+NS} + C_B$$

$$\frac{dC_{F+NS}}{dt} = K_1 C_P - k_2 C_{F+NS} - k_3 C_{F+NS} + k_4 C_B$$

$$\frac{dC_B}{dt} = k_3 C_{F+NS} - k_4 C_B$$

Derivation of V_T from rate constants: Total V_T for 3-compartmental model

At equilibrium:

$$\frac{dC_B}{dt} = 0 \Longrightarrow k_3 C_{F+NS} = k_4 C_B; \quad C_B = \frac{k_3}{k_4} C_{F+NS}$$

thus

$$V_{T} = \frac{C_{T}}{C_{P}} = \frac{C_{F+NS} + C_{B}}{C_{P}} = \left(1 + \frac{k_{3}}{k_{4}}\right) \frac{C_{F+NS}}{C_{P}}$$

Derivation of V_T from rate constants: Total V_T for 3-compartmental model

At equilibrium:



thus:



How do rate constants relate to pharmacological binding parameters?

$$k_3 = k_{on} f_{ND} \left(B_{\text{max}} - \frac{C_B(t)}{A_{\text{m}}} \right)$$

$$k_4 = k_{off}$$

How do rate constants relate to pharmacological binding parameters?

At tracer doses, $A_m >> C_P(t)$ (that is, negligible occupancy by the radiotracer), and k_3 formula reduces to:

Since

$$\frac{k_{off}}{k_{on}} = K_D,$$

$$\frac{k_3}{k_4} = \underbrace{f_{ND}B_{max}}_{K_D} = \underbrace{BP_{ND}}_{K_D}$$

Standard 3-compartmental model



Nomenclature

BP notation	Pharmacological interpretation	Kinetic interpretation	V_{T} interpretation	f _P	f _{ND}
BP _F	$\frac{B_{\max}}{K_D}$	$\frac{K_1k_3}{f_Pk_2k_4}$	$\frac{V_T - V_{ND}}{f_P}$	No	No
BP _P	$\frac{f_P B_{\max}}{K_D}$	$\frac{K_1k_3}{k_2k_4}$	$V_T - V_{ND}$	Yes	No
BP _{ND}	$\frac{f_{ND}B_{\max}}{K_D}$	$\frac{k_3}{k_4}$	$\frac{V_T}{V_{ND}} - 1$	No	Yes

Distribution Volume ($V_{\rm T}$)

$V_{\rm T}$ equals uptake in brain relative to how much activity is delivered in arterial plasma



Methods for estimating BP in vivo

- Direct method
 - From rate constants: complicated
- Indirect method
 - Calculation from $V_{\rm T}$ values derived from target and reference regions using arterial plasma input: more robust
 - Calculation using reference region models: robust, arterial blood sampling not required
 - Caveat: critically dependent on the validity of the reference region to accurately estimate $V_{\rm ND}$

Reference region methods



Reference region methods

- Estimation of the free and non-specific compartment (C_{F+NS}) from a reference region would obviate the need of arterial blood sampling
 - A major advantage in clinical studies!
- In a valid reference region, V_{ND} represents only free and non-specific radioligand – <u>no specific binding to receptors</u>
- Central assumption: free and non-specific binding is same between brain regions, *i.e.*:

$$\frac{K_1}{k_2} = \frac{K_1'}{k_2'}$$

Note that blood flow is not assumed to be equal across brain regions - only the ratio K_1/k_2 .

Reference region methods: indirect BP estimation from V_{T} values



Reference region methods: indirect BP estimation from V_{T} values



Accordingly:

$$V_T - V_{REF} = \left(\frac{K_1}{k_2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{k_3}{k_4}\right) - \left(\frac{K_1}{k_2}\right) = \frac{K_1 k_3}{k_2 k_4} = \frac{f_P B_{\text{max}}}{K_D} \quad (BP_P)$$

Reference region methods: simplified reference tissue model (SRTM)



Further assumptions: bound and free+nonspecific compartments reach equilibrium rapidly \rightarrow they can be treated as a single compartment, C_{F+NS+B}

$$C_{T}(t) = R_{1}C_{REF}(t) + \left(k_{2} - \frac{R_{1}k_{2}}{1 + BP}\right)C_{REF}(t) \otimes e^{-\left(\frac{k_{2}t}{1 + BP_{ND}}\right)}$$

 $C_T(t)$ = radioactivity concentration in the region of interest (= $C_{F+NS}+C_B$) $C_{REF}(t)$ = radioactivity concentration in the reference region R_1 = ratio of K_1 and K_1 ' BP_{ND} = binding potential

Conclusions

- Nomenclature concerning the parameters estimates for specific binding may be confusing
- <u>Always</u> check what is really meant by "BP"
- <u>Always</u> state explicitly in an article what <u>you</u> mean by "BP"
- Keep in mind the limitation and vulnerabilities of each model
- Learn the model configurations and common formulas